

|   |                                      |                                    |
|---|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/524,396 | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>WOLF ET AL. |
|   | <b>Examiner</b><br>Adam C. Rehm      | <b>Art Unit</b><br>2875            |

**All Participants:****Status of Application:** Rejected(1) Adam C. Rehm.(3) Sharon Payne.(2) Hassan Shakir.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 20 April 2006**Time:** 12pm**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

1-22

Prior art documents discussed:

*none***Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***A provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute invention I, Claims 1-18***Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)